## Covalently Linked Porphyrin Ubiquinones(0) as Model Compounds for Photosynthetic Reaction Center.

Henrik Dieks, Jens Sobek, Peizhu Tian and Harry Kurreck\*

Institut für Organische Chemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustr. 3, 1000 Berlin 33, Germany

Abstract: *trans*- and *cis*- cyclohexylene linked porphyrin ubiquinones(0) have been synthesized and characterized by NMR and optical spectroscopy. ENDOR spectra of the corresponding porphyrin semiquinone radical anions are discussed.

The donor and acceptor pigments of the photosynthetic reaction centers are fixed in precise distances and relative orientations by the protein matrix. For studies of photoinduced electron transfer (ET) reactions between these primary reactants, porphyrin guinones (P-Q) have been widely used as biomimetic model compounds.<sup>1</sup> Rigidly linked derivatives are particularly suited, since they allow detailed insight into the dependence of the ET properties on structural and electronic parameters. By time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy,<sup>2</sup> we have previously shown that model compounds with a porphyrin donor and a quinone acceptor linked via a cyclohexylene bridge, exhibit at ambient temperature fast singlet ET on the picosecond scale. On cooling, singlet triplet intersystem crossing becomes competitive and triplet ET (from the excited porphyrin to the quinone moiety) can be observed by timeresolved EPR spectroscopy allowing unambiguous detection of the charge separated biradical intermediate in triplet state.<sup>3</sup> Since one of the factors which governs effective ET in these systems is the redox potential of donor and acceptor (and thereby the driving force  $\Delta G$ ), we have synthesized similar model compounds with methoxy and methyl substituents at the quinone fragment. In the present paper, we describe the synthetic route to these compounds and in addition some preliminary static optical and EPR results.

Syntheses: Radical alkylation of 1 (see Scheme) was performed by oxidative decarboxylation of a mixture of 2a and 2b<sup>4</sup> with  $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$  and AgNO<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst<sup>5</sup> yielding 3a and 3b in a ratio of 2:3 [chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: ether = 20:1), total yield 35%]. Reduction of the mixture of 3a and 3b with DIBAH<sup>5</sup> in diethylether yielded 4a and 4b in a ratio of 1:2 [chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: acetone = 10:1; total yield 62%]. Oxidation of this mixture using pyridinium chloro-chromate (PCC)<sup>7</sup> yielded the desired aldehydes 5a and 5b in a ratio of 1:12 [chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: ether = 20:1; total yield 75%]. Condensation of the mixture of 5a and 5b with 4-methylbenzaldehyde and pyrrole in a ratio of 1:3:4 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub><sup>5</sup> using trifluoroacetic acid as a catalyst, followed by repetitive chromatography



Cyclic voltammetry: For an understanding of ET properties of these systems knowledge of the energetics, i. e. energy differences between ground and excited states of the porphyrin moiety and those of the charge separated states is of greatest importance. In this respect, valuable information can be obtained by cyclic voltammetry which yields the redox potentials of one-electron transitions,<sup>10</sup> e. g. those of P-Q/P<sup>+-</sup>-Q and P-Q/P-Q<sup>-</sup>. We have determined the redox potentials at a Pt electrode vs. SCE of 6a ( $E_{1/2}^{+}$  = 965 mV;  $E_{1/2}^{-}$  = -692 mV) and 6b ( $E_{1/2}^{+}$  = 957 mV;  $E_{1/2}^{-}$  = -696 mV) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> using tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting electrolyte and for comparison, suitable fragments such as 5-cyclohexyl-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin ( $E_{1/2}^{+}$  = 1020 mV), and cyclohexyl-Q(0) ( $E_{1/2}^{-}$  = -784 mV). It turned out that the potentials of the donor and quinone moieties of the P-Q's cannot be regarded as localized fragments. This finding is of importance for theoretical interpretations of ET processes of these systems.

Ontical spectra: Absorption and steady-state fluorescence spectra were measured with a Perkin-Elmer PE 330 and a Perkin-Elmer PE 555 spectrometer respectively, in CH\_Cl\_ (concentrations for fluorescence measurements <510-8 m). Whereas the absorption spectra of the porphyrins are slightly perturbed by the appended quinone, the fluorescence spectra correspond to the well-known spectra of tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP). However, due to singlet ET between the porphyrin and the quinone mojety, the fluorescence intensities (the quantum vields of the P-Q's) are significantly reduced as compared with that of TPP. Assuming the quenching to be solely caused by ET and taking the fluorescence lifetime of TPP ( $r_{-} = 7.6$  ns, unpublished) as standard, the rate constants can be estimated to be 28:10<sup>9</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> and 22:10<sup>9</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> for **6a and 6b**. respectively.

EPR/ENDOR experiments: In a recent ENDOR study of semiguinones, we have observed different conformations of cyclohexyl substituted ubiquinones.<sup>11</sup> which may also occur in the P-Q's. Therefore, and in order to determine the spin density distributions of the radical state of the quinone mojeties, we have studied the radical anions of 6a and 6b (2-propanol, reductant benzoin, base benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide, argon protection) by ENDOR. Figure 1 shows the EPR, ENDOR, and ENDOR-induced EPR (E)<sup>12</sup> spectra of the zinc complex of the cissemiauinone 6b<sup>-</sup>. The EIE experiments clearly revealed two different paramagnetic species with different hyperfine coupling constants to be present in the sample under study. Similar results were obtained for Zn-6a-. It may be assumed that these different conformations are caused by different sterically hindered geometrical arrangements of the cyclohexylene bridge.





Time-resolved optical and EPR measurements of the new P-Q's in isotropic and in liquid-crystalline solution are in progress.

Acknowledgments: Thanks are due to Drs. B. Kirste, F. Lendzian, K. Möbius, Free University of Berlin, as well as Dr. F. Willig, Fritz-Haber-Institute, Berlin, for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 337 and Normalverfahren) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (H. K.).

- M. R. Wasielewski, *Cham. Rev.* 1992, *92*, 463; M. Bixon, J. Fajer, G. Feher, J. H. Freed, D. Gamliel, A. J. Hoff, H. Levanon, K. Möbius, R. Nechushtai, J. R. Norris, A. Scherz, J. L. Sessler, D. Stehlik, *Israel J. Cham.* submitted for publication.
- J. von Gersdorff, M. Huber, H. Schubert, D. Niethammer, B. Kirste, M. Plato, K. Möbius, H. Kurreck, R. Eichberger, R. Kietzmann, F. Willig, *Angew. Chem.* 1990, 102, 690; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1990, 29, 670.
- F. Lendzian, B. von Maltzan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1991, *180*, 191; F. Lendzian, J. Schlüpmann, J. von Gersdorff, K. Möbius, H. Kurreck, *Angew. Chem.* 1991, *103*, 1536; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1991, *30*, 1462; H. Kurreck, J. von Gersdorff, B. Kirste, F. Lendzian, J. Schlüpmann, K. Möbius, F. Willig, R. Kietzmann in N. D. Yordanov (Ed.): Proceedings of the International Workshop of Disordered Systems, EMARDIS-1991, World Scientific 1991, p. 158f.
- 4. H. L. Yale, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 4762.
- 5. N. Jacobsen, K. Torssell, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1972**, *763*, 135; N. Jacobsen, S. C. Sharmau, K. Torssell, *Acta Chem. Scand*, **1979**, *B33*, 499.
- 6. E. Winterfeldt, Synthesis 1975, 617.
- 7. G. Piancatelli, A. Scettri, M. D'Auria, Synthesis 1982, 245.
- 8. J. S. Lindsey, I. C. Schreiman, H. C. Hsu, P. C. Kearney, A. M. Marguerettaz, *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 827.
- <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of P-Q's (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). 6a: -2.65 (s, 2H, N-H); 2.13 (m, 2H<sub>a</sub>); 2.35 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-Q(0)); 2.69 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-tolyl); 2.75 (m, 8H: 2CH<sub>3</sub>-tolyl and 2H<sub>a</sub>); 2.88 (m, 2H<sub>a</sub>); 3.25 (m, 2H<sub>a</sub>); 3.45 (t,t, 1H<sub>a</sub>); 4.08 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O-Q(0)); 4.15 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O-Q(0)); 5.4 (t,t, 1H<sub>a</sub>); 7.55 (m, 6H, phenyl-H); 8.08 (m, 6H, phenyl-H); 8.80 (m, 4H, porphyrin-H); 8.93 (m, 2H, porphyrin-H); 9.66 (m, 2H, porphyrin-H). 6b: -2.64 (s, 2H, N-H); 2.12 (m, 2H: 2H-cyclohexylene); 2.34 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-Q(0)); 2.68 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-tolyl); 2.72 (m, 10H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>-tolyl and 4H-cyclohexylene); 3.50 (m, 2H-cyclohexylene); 3.60 (m, 1H-cyclohexylene); 4.08 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O-Q(0)); 4.14 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>O- Q(0)); 5,48 (m, 1H-cyclohexylene); 7.56 (m, 6H, phenyl-H); 8.08 (m, 6H, phenyl-H); 8.80 (m, 4H, porphyrin-H); 8.92 (m, 2H, porphyrin-H); 9.62 (m, 2H, porphyrin-H).
- 10. J. S. Sessler, M. R. Johnson, T.-Y. Lin, S. E. Creager, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, *110,* 3659.
- 11. P. Tian, B. Kirste, H. Dieks, H. Kurreck, manuscript in preparation.
- For a detailed description of the ENDOR and ElE experiment, see H. Kurreck, B. Kirste, W. Lubitz, Angew. Chem. 96, 171, 1984; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 23, 173, 1984; H. Kurreck, B. Kirste, W. Lubitz: Electron Nuclear Double Resonance Spectroscopy of Radicals in Solution. New York: VCH Publishers 1988.

(Received in Germany 19 June 1992)